

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 143 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.unipro.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| 10/053,550 | 01/24/2002 | Kazuaki Igarashi | 218262US0 | 3309 | | |
| 22850 7 | 22850 7590 08/28/2003 | | | | | |
| | | MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | PRATS, FRANCISCO CHANDLER | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | ٦ | |
| | | 1651 | | | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 09/29/2002 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---------------|
| | | 10/053,550 | | IGARASHI ET AL. | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | | Francisco C | | 1651 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the c | over sheet with the co | orrespondence ad | dress |
| | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY | V IS SET TO | EYDIDE 2 MONTU/9 | E) EDOM | |
| THE - External control | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, y within the statutor will apply and will extra cause the applica | however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tion to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co | |
| Status | | | | | • |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | |
| 2a)□ | ,— | is action is no | | | |
| 3)□ Disposit | Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> ion of Claims | | | | e merits is |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consi | deration. | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to. | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requ | uirement. | | |
| | ion Papers | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | |
| .10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep | oted or b) 🔲 ob | jected to by the Exam | niner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be | held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | - | | ed by the Examine | er. |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | • | action. | | |
| · · | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority unde | r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | |
| a) | ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | • • | | |
| * 5 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed D | reau (PCT Ru | le 17.2(a)). | | Stage |
| | acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | · | | application). |
| a |) The translation of the foreign language protection. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | visional appli | cation has been rece | ived. | |
| ر اسارات Attachmen | | o priority unto | 5, 00 0,0,0, 98 120 | unu/UI 121. | |
| 1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) 5) | Notice of Informal Pa | (PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC | |

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5 are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may not depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Since specific strains of microorganisms are recited in the claims (see claim 3), they are essential to the invention recited in those claims. The microorganisms must therefore be obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification or otherwise be readily available to the public. If the

Art Unit: 1651

microorganism is not so obtainable or available, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112 may be satisfied by a deposit of the microorganism. The specification does not disclose a repeatable process to obtain the microorganisms and it is not apparent if the microorganisms are readily available to the public.

It is noted that the organisms have deposit numbers but there is no indication in the specification as to public availability.

If applicant deposited the microorganisms, and the deposits were made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by applicants, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number, stating that the specific strain will be irrevocably and without restriction or condition released to the public upon the issuance of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirement made herein.

If applicant deposited the microorganims, but the deposit was not been made under the Budapest Treaty, then in order to certify that the deposit meets the criteria set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.801-1.809, applicants may provide assurance of compliance by an affidavit or declaration, or by a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number, showing that:

Application/Control Number: 10/053,550 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

(a) during the pendency of this application, access to the invention will be afforded to the Commissioner upon request;

- (b) all restrictions upon availability to the public will be irrevocably removed upon granting of the patent;
- (c) the deposit will be maintained in a public depository for a period of 30 years or 5 years after the last request or for the effective life of the patent, whichever is longer; and
- (d) the deposit will be replaced if it should ever become inviable.

Applicant is directed to 37 CFR § 1.807(b), which states:

- (b) A viability statement for each deposit of a biological material defined in paragraph (a) of this section not made under the Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure must be filed in the application and must contain:
 - (1) The name and address of the depository;
 - (2) The name and address of the depositor;
 - (3) The date of deposit;
 - (4) The identity of the deposit and the accession number given by the depository;
 - (5) The date of the viability test;
 - (6) The procedures used to obtain a sample if the test is not done by the depository; and
 - (7) A statement that the deposit is capable of reproduction.

Applicant is also directed to 37 CFR § 1.809(d) which states:

- (d) For each deposit made pursuant to these regulations, the specification shall contain:
 - (1) The accession number for the deposit;
 - (2) The date of the deposit;
 - (3) A description of the deposited biological material sufficient to specifically identify it and to permit examination; and
 - (4) The name and address of the depository.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,550 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weinhäusel et al (Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 41:510-516 1994)).

Weinhäusel discloses the production of an enzyme,
maltodextrin phosphorylase, which produces glucose-1-phosphate
from a variety of glucans and phosphoric acid. See abstract.
Weinhäusel discloses that the enzyme can be obtained from a
variety of Corynebacterium species (see Table 1, page 512), thus
suggesting that the artisan of ordinary skill would have

Application/Control Number: 10/053,550 Page 6

Art Unit: 1651

reasonably expected other strains of *Corynebacterium*, including those recited in claim 3, to possess the enzyme activity.

Weinhäusel differs from the claims in that the glucose-1phosphate is prepared using the isolated enzyme, rather than directly from the microorganism culture, as recited in the claims.

However, the artisan of ordinary skill, recognizing from Weinhäusel that the claimed microorganisms possess the enzymatic activity required for production of glucose-1-phosphate from the claimed substrates, would have reasonable expected from Weinhäusel's disclosure that the cultured microorganisms would have contained qlucose-1-phosphate in recoverable amounts. artisan of ordinary skill would therefore have been motivated to have obtained the glucose-1-phosphate from the cultured microorganisms. Motivation for recovering glucose-1-phosphate directly from the microorganisms, rather than using isolated enzymes, would have been derived from the fact that using microorganisms directly would have eliminated the necessity for enzyme purification, thus making the process simpler. some demonstration of an unexpected result coming from the claimed process, a holding of prima facie obviousness must be maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,550

Art Unit: 1651

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francisco C Prats whose telephone number is 703-308-3665. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Francisco C Prats Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651 Page 7

FCP